

# Introducing Directly Observed Therapy (DOT) to Strengthen TB Programming in Suriname: Challenges and Successes



# Content

- HIV and TB epidemiology in Suriname
- Pre- DOT Program in Suriname
- Implementation of DOT
- Treatment outcomes before and after DOT
- Challenges of DOT implementation
- Successes of DOT implementation
- Conclusion



# Suriname



- Population: 534.189
- Ethnic groups: Creole, Hindustani, Javanese, Maroons, Chinese, Amer Indian, Mixed
- Language: Dutch
- GDP: 7,906 US per capita
- Life expectancy:  $\pm 70$  years

# Objectives

- To identify the challenges of DOT implementation in Suriname
- To understand the strategies applied in achieving success

# HIV and TB epidemiology in Suriname

Incidence rates ( per 100.000 pop.) of TB and TB/HIV cases, Suriname, 2005-2010

| Year | Incidence rates | Total HIV+ cases | Percentage TB/HIV+ cases |
|------|-----------------|------------------|--------------------------|
| 2005 | 23 (117)        | 610              | 21% (23)                 |
| 2006 | 25 (127)        | 740              | 22% (28)                 |
| 2009 | 34 (177)        | 596              | 31% (32)                 |
| 2010 | 40 (201)        | 521              | 34% (68)                 |

# Pre-DOTS Program in Suriname

The National Tuberculosis Program operated within many constraints:

- Rising TB cases
- Poor management of TB drug procurement
- Central care of TB patients
- Lack in motivation in personnel
- Logistical issues
- No TB health promotion
- Small budget for TB program

## TB Patient care before DOT started

- Patient comes to the NTP: once a week in initial phase  
twice a month in follow up phase
- Patient are not supervised in swallowing the pills
- Patients don't come for medication: too far/ no money/ too sick
- Many defaulters

# Continued **Pre-DOTS Program in Suriname**

**The national authorities of Suriname took an important step towards addressing the impact of TB on the population.**

- ✓ Submitted a proposal for the 9<sup>TH</sup> round of the Global Fund
- ✓ Contracted a TB consultant to provide technical assistance for the NTP
- ✓ Assessments by CAREC / Francis J. Curry TB Center through Chart/ PAHO/ KNCV

# Why DOT?

- **DOT meets the needs of TB patient care:**  
Problems identified quickly and responded to, which leads to cure
- **Prevents acquired drug resistance:**  
no self selection of medication
- **Prevents defaults:**  
Decreased community transmission
- **Builds trust and relationship:**  
Between health providers and at –risk communities
- **Improves TB Program outcomes:**  
Communities are protected



# Implementation of DOT

## Plans and activities:

- Meetings held with stake holders to support the DOT implementation
- Training staff of: NTP, Regional Health Centre's , Medical Mission , Hospitals, Community, General Physicians, Lab personnel, Prison
- Supporters recruitment and training
- Opening of the DOT sites
- TB Health promotion
- Supervision of the DOT sites

# Implementation of DOT

continued

## Patient care

- **Sputum collection at : DOT site, NTP, Chest Clinic and other facilities and send to the lab**
- **Initial evaluation of all patient are done by the long specialist**
- **Decisions made to treat patient at home or admit to the sanatoria, where DOT is started**
- **The supporters are being supervised by the NTP nurses**
- **Community DOT can be started without intervention from the sanatoria**
- **Admitted patients are monitored until discharged**

# Treatment outcome before DOT

## Treatment Outcome data 2009 and 2010

|                        | 2009            | 2010            |
|------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Cured                  | 97              | 116             |
| Completed              | 8               | 7               |
| <b>Defaulted</b>       | <b>19 (12%)</b> | <b>32 (16%)</b> |
| Died with treatment    | 18              | 16              |
| Died without treatment | 2               | 15              |
| Stop medical reason    | 7               | 6               |
| Not treated            | 0               | 6               |
| Transferred out        | 0               | 2               |
| unknown                | 4               | 1               |
| <b>Total</b>           | <b>155</b>      | <b>201</b>      |

# Treatment outcome after Implementing DOT

## Treatment outcome 2011

| 2011            |
|-----------------|
| 81              |
| 11              |
| <b>9 (6.8%)</b> |
| 17              |
| 8               |
| 2               |
| 4               |
| 0               |
| 0               |
| <b>132</b>      |

# Challenges of DOT Implementation

## Challenges of patient for NOT accepting DOT:

- work and too busy for a DOT supporter
- Afraid it will be told to others, confidentiality
- See TB as an illness for the poor
- Family issues →Lack of information about tuberculosis, denial of illness
- Patients from the interior→ changing the habit, when the person is a user of traditional therapy

## Challenges of organizing DOT

- Recruitment of DOT supporters
- Keeping the recruited supporters
- PHC settings don't take TB as a priority
- Motivation of personnel, not interested in TB
- Sustainability of DOT after Global Found

# Successes of implementing DOT

- Decentralization of care and Treatment of TB patient
- Health promotion of TB improved
- Less defaulters
- Supervision/Monitoring/ Evaluation
- Health workers are more interested (DOT sites)

## **What would have been done differently**

- Wider campaign for volunteers as supporters
- Train family member as DOT supporters
- Community involvement

# Conclusions

- **Introduction of DOT in 2011**
- **Decentralization of TB care**
- **Less Defaulters**
- **Better management of TB drug procurement**
- **TB health Promotion**
- **Good logistics**
- **Feedback and cooperation of stake holders**

# Acknowledgements

Drs. C. Spitters

Mrs. A. Rafferty

Ms. M. Francis

NTP staff

MOH

Drs. M. Blokland (Minister MOH)

Drs. M. Eersel (Directeur MOH)

Drs. L. Resida (Directeur BOG)



*Thank you*